




BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2021-22
 Technical Evaluation of firms/ companies
LINEN ITEMS

STAGE: 01

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co, Fsd	M/S: JWS Homes Fsd	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Fsd	M/S: Bestech Enterprises Fsd	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co, Fsd	M/S: S.A Trader, Fsd	M/S: Noorani Surgical Pvt Ltd, Lhr.	REMARKS
1.	Financial Position / Status	15								
	i. Income Tax registration certificate	(03)	03	03	03	03	03	03	03	
	ii. Sales tax registration certificate	(03)	03	00	03	03	03	00	03	
	iii. Professional Tax certificate	(03)	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	
	iii. Bank Statement worth (min 03 million)	(06)	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	
2.	Firm's Experience In The Relevant Field	15								
	i. 1-3 years experience	(09)								
	ii. 4-8 years experience	(12)	09	09	15	15	15	15	15	
	iii. 9-15 years & above experience	(15)								
3.	Overall past performance of the firm/company	15								
	a) very good	15								
	b) good	12								
	c) satisfactory	10	00	10	12	09	12	00	00	
	d) No past experience with this institution but firm has experience with other Govt. Institutions	09								
	e)Unsatisfactory/ Poor	(00)								
4.	Technical Staff	05								
	i. 01 or more employees/owners holding bachelor degree or above.	(05)	00	00	00	05	00	00	00	
	Qualifying points 60% of total	Total Points = 50	12	22	33	35	33	18	21	


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)


Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2021-2022**

Technical Evaluation of products

LINEN ITEMS

STAGE: 02

Page 1 of 14

		1. Duster Flallan Cloth		20" x 21" Border Stitched , as per sample					
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Noorani Surgical Pvt. Ltd Noorani Ijaz Ul Haq & sons Pakistan	M/S:M.S enterprises & Co. M.S Unite 1 dozen/Pack As per sample	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Local Pakistan	M/S: Bestech Enterprises As per sample	M/S: S.A Traders Local Made Pakistan	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Local Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	18	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	18	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)			16		16		
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30			34		34		

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2021-2022**

Technical Evaluation of products

LINEN ITEMS

STAGE: 02

Page 2 of 14

		2. Eye Sheet for Procedure		Size 1.5 mtr x 2 mtr.Border & Hole 3x3” Stitched 3” x 3” , as per sample								
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Noorani Surgical Pvt. Ltd Noorani Ijaz Ul Haq & sons Pakistan	M/S:M.S enterprises & Co. M.S Unite 1 Pc/Pack As per sample	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Local Pakistan	M/S: Bestech Enterprises As per sample	M/S: S.A Traders Local Made Pakistan	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Local Pakistan	REMARKS			
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	16	18	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	18	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected				
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)								14	16	14
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30								30	34	32

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2021-2022**Technical Evaluation of products
LINEN ITEMS

STAGE: 02

Page 3 of 14

		3. Gray Cloth		as per sample,60/60					
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S:M.S enterprises & Co. Crescent Mill As per sample	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Local Pakistan	M/S: JWS Homes As per sample 60/60	M/S: Bestech Enterprises As per sample 60/60	M/S: S.A Traders Local Made Pakistan	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Local Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	16	16	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	16	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14	14		14		
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		30	30		30		

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2021-2022**

Technical Evaluation of products

LINEN ITEMS

STAGE: 02

Page 4 of 14

4. Green Cloth for office table			Best Quality As per Sample					REMARKS
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S:M.S enterprises & Co. Bannu Wool Per Meter as per sample	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Local Pakistan	M/S: Bestech Enterprises As per sample Best Quality	M/S: S.A Traders Local Made Pakistan	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Local Pakistan	
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	Rejected due to Stretched	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)						
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30						

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2021-2022**

Technical Evaluation of products

LINEN ITEMS

STAGE: 02

Page 5 of 14

5. KT Cloth for Uniform Colored for Class 4 as per sample, 80/80								
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Noorani Surgical Pvt. Ltd Noorani Ijaz Ul Haq & sons Pakistan	M/S:M.S enterprises & Co. Al Khair As per sample	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Local Pakistan	M/S: Bestech Enterprises As per sample 80/80	M/S: S.A Traders Local Made Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	16	16	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u> (14) (16) (20)		14	14			
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		30	30			

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2021-2022**

Technical Evaluation of products

LINEN ITEMS

STAGE: 02

Page 6 of 14


6. Macintosh 48"		as per sample						
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Noorani Surgical Pvt. Ltd Noorani Ijaz Ul Haq & sons Pakistan	M/S:M.S enterprises & Co. Sialkot Made As per sample	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Local Pakistan	M/S: Bestech Enterprises As per sample	M/S: S.A Traders Local Made Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	16	
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)					14	
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30					30	

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2021-2022**

Technical Evaluation of products

LINEN ITEMS

STAGE: 02

Page 7 of 14

7. Mattress Cover		72"x 42", Zipper, water Proof Rexin Cover, as per sample						
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S:M.S enterprises & Co. Vinni Rexine As per sample	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Local Pakistan	M/S: JWS Homes As per Sample	M/S: Bestech Enterprises As per sample	M/S: S.A Traders Local Made Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	16	16	16	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	16	
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	14	14	14		14	
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	30	30	30		30	

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2021-2022**

Technical Evaluation of products

LINEN ITEMS

STAGE: 02

Page 8 of 14

8. Mattress Foam with Cover		72" x 42 x 6" BQ, as per sample				
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S:M.S enterprises & Co. Diamond Foam As per sample	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Dura Foam, Pakistan		REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	 16	 16		
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	 14	 14		
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	30	30		

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid afi Shabir
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2021-2022
 Technical Evaluation of products
LINEN ITEMS

STAGE: 02

Page 9 of 14

9. Nalki Thread			as per sample				REMARKS
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S:M.S enterprises & Co. Best Quality As per sample	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Local Pakistan	M/S: Bestech Enterprises As per sample	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Local Pakistan	
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	16	16	16	16	
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	14	14	14	14	
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	30	30	30	30	

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2021-2022**

Technical Evaluation of products

LINEN ITEMS

STAGE: 02

Page 10 of 14

10. PC Cloth Green Color For Draw sheet ½ meter x 1 meter, as per sample

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S:M.S enterprises & Co. M.S Unit As per sample	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Local Pakistan	M/S: JWS Homes As per sample	M/S: Bestech Enterprises As per sample	M/S: S.A Traders Local Made Pakistan	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Local Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	16	16	16	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	16	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	14	14	14		14		
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	30	30	30		30		

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2021-2022**

Technical Evaluation of products

LINEN ITEMS

STAGE: 02

Page 11 of 14

11. Surgeon Gown Cotton Cloth with Logo		with Logo, Best Quality, 80/80, as per sample						REMARKS
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S:M.S enterprises & Co. M.S Unit As per sample	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Local Pakistan	M/S: JWS Homes As per sample	M/S: Bestech Enterprises As per sample	M/S: S.A Traders Local Made Pakistan	
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	16	16	16	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	14	14	14			
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	30	30	30			

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2021-2022**Technical Evaluation of products
LINEN ITEMS

STAGE: 02

Page 12 of 14


12. Surgeon Gown KT Cloth with Logo		with Logo, Best Quality, 80/80 as per sample						REMARKS
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S:M.S enterprises & Co. M.S Unit As per sample	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Local Pakistan	M/S: JWS Homes As per sample	M/S: Bestech Enterprises As per sample	M/S: S.A Traders Local Made Pakistan	
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	16	16	16	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	14	14	14			
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	30	30	30			

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2021-2022**Technical Evaluation of products
LINEN ITEMS

STAGE: 02

Page 13 of 14


		13. Towel Cotton		25" x 50" best quality, ½ kg , as per sample					
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S:M.S enterprises & Co. Karachi Made As per sample	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Local Pakistan	M/S: JWS Homes As per sample Best Quality	M/S: Bestech Enterprises As per sample	M/S: S.A Traders Local Made Pakistan	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Local Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	16	16	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	16	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14	14		14		
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		30	30		30		

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2021-2022**Technical Evaluation of products
LINEN ITEMS

STAGE: 02

Page 14 of 14

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Noorani Surgical Pvt. Ltd Noorani Ijaz UI Haq & sons Pakistan	M/S:M.S enterprises & Co. M.S Unit As per sample	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq Local Pakistan	M/S: Bestech Enterprises As per sample	REMARKS
14. Security Guard Uniform Complete			Shirt+ Trouser/Pent, Cap Belt, Shoulder Badges with Shoes like forces design & Whistles				
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	Poor Quality Sample Provided Hence Rejected	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	No Sample for evaluation provided hence rejected	
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)					
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30					

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid alj/Shabir
Statistical Officer/In-Charge Services
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)